

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		<u> </u>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,351	09/30/2003	Sean J. Hart	NC 84,517	8470
26384	7590 06/23/2006	EXAMINER		
	ESEARCH LABORATO	DRODGE, JOSEPH W		
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS)				
CODE 1008	.2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W.			1723	
WASHING	ON, DC 20375-5320			_

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/673,351	HART ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Joseph W. Drodge	1723

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph W. Drodge	1723				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE	HE REPLY FILED 12 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. 🛚	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the						
a)	following time periods: a)						
b)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
been f CFR above earne NOT	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
	NDMENTS						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		-	•			
7. 📙	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary			
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. [	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paner	No(s).				
3. Other:							
			04/5				
			JWD 6/21/2006				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Arguments presented in the Remarks are not persuasive. It is argued that Dapprich patent 6,585,939 fails to disclose a fluid pathway having a "light input part" (such as a mirror or reflector) arranged to accept light from a first direction and permit such light to flow in a reverse direction. However, Dapprich discloses fluid-handling bodies such as sample holder 64 as forming a part of a microstructure in which samples are transported and dispersed, i.e. have fluid movement (see column 11, lines 59-65; column 12, lines 1-5,10-20 and 65-67). Column 12, lines 22-26 then state that such microstructures may also comprise optical components including lenses, mirrors and other reflectors (column 12, lines 22-25 and 50-67). It is also argued that Dapprich separately discusses microstructures for fluid displacement and optical devices and structures in separate sections of the patent. However, column 12, lines 22-26 and 49-67 state that the disclosed microstructures, themselves, contain reflectors, mirrors and other optical devices for controlling direction of fluid flow.

JOSEPH DRODGE PRIMARY EXAMINER